November 29, 2012

To: Ziv Ran, Chair  
Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction

Fr: Jose Wudka, Chair  
Riverside Division

Re: Committee on Educational Policy - Proposed Changes to Appendix 6.6.4, Academic Integrity Committee

Please see the attached proposal for an Appendix change by the Committee on Educational Policy.
COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL POLICY
REPORT TO THE RIVERSIDE DIVISION
FEBRUARY 19, 2013

To be adopted:

Proposed Change to Appendix 6.6.4 to the Bylaws and Regulations of the Riverside Division of the University of California Academic Senate

PRESENT:

6.4.4 III. Academic Integrity Committees
1. Review Stage 2, College/School Academic Integrity Committees for Cases Involving Undergraduate Students

An Academic Integrity Committee will be established in each of School or College to:
* hear cases referred by SCAIP that are sufficiently complex to require additional review
* hear serious and repeated violations of academic misconduct upon referral from an instructor or SCAIP
* consider appeals of decisions and/or sanctions imposed by SCAIP

The Academic Senate’s Committee on Committees will appoint four faculty members from each of BCOE, CNAS, and SOBA, and six from CHASS to the undergraduate Academic Integrity Committees for each college/school to serve one-year terms, effective September 1-August 31. Each committee should include faculty who are available to participate in hearings during the summer months.

PROPOSED:

6.4.4 III. Academic Integrity Committees
1. Review Stage 2, College/School Academic Integrity Committees for Cases Involving Undergraduate Students

An Academic Integrity Committee will be established in each of School or College to:
* hear cases referred by SCAIP that are sufficiently complex to require additional review
* hear serious and repeated violations of academic misconduct upon referral from an instructor or SCAIP
* consider appeals of decisions and/or sanctions imposed by SCAIP

The Academic Senate’s Committee on Committees will appoint four to six faculty members to the undergraduate Academic Integrity Committees for each college and one to three faculty members to the undergraduate Academic Integrity Committees for each school with an undergraduate curriculum to serve one-year terms, effective September 1-August 31. Each committee should include faculty who are available to participate in hearings during the summer months.
In addition, SCAIP will solicit and review applications from interested undergraduate and graduate students and make recommendations to the Associated Students of UCR and Graduate Student Association regarding students to be appointed to serve on each college/school committee for one-year terms, effective September 1-August 31. The final endorsement of student members will rest with the Committee on Committees. Students are not eligible to serve if they have been suspended or are on academic or disciplinary probation, have been evicted from University Housing for reasons related to conduct, or have a case pending before SCAIP. (Am 20 February 07)

In all cases an effort will be made to appoint members who represent the disciplinary diversity within each college/school, whenever possible. Staff support to the committees will be provided by the office of the Vice Provost for Administrative Resolution, the office of the AVC/Dean of Students, and SCAIP.

JUSTIFICATION:
Even though GSOE has an undergraduate curriculum, they had no representation on the Academic Integrity Committee. Also, the existing bylaw would have to be changed whenever new colleges and schools are formed or when a unit with just a graduate program adopts an undergraduate curriculum.

The original policy and this revision were developed by Committee on Educational Policy in consultation with the Assistant Vice Chancellor & Dean of Students and Director of Student Conduct.
APPROVALS:
Approved by the Executive Committee of CHASS:
Approved by the Executive Committee of CNAS:
Approved by the Executive Committee of COE:
Approved by the Executive Committee of SoBA:
Approved by the Executive Committee of the GSOE:

Approved by Graduate Council:

Approved by the Committee on Educational Policy:

The Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction finds the wording to be consistent with the code of the Academic Senate:

Received by the Executive Council
January 2, 2013

To: Jose Wudka, Chair
   Riverside Division

Fr: Ziv Ran, Chair
    Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction

Re: Committee on Educational Policy - Proposed Changes to Appendix 6.6.4, Academic Integrity Committee

The Committee on R&J approves the proposed changes and has no further suggestions.
To be adopted:

Proposed Change to Appendix 6.6.4 to the Bylaws and Regulations of the Riverside Division of the University of California Academic Senate

PRESENT:

6.4.4 III. Academic Integrity Committees
1. Review Stage 2, College/School Academic Integrity Committees for Cases Involving Undergraduate Students

An Academic Integrity Committee will be established in each of School or College to:
* hear cases referred by SCAIP that are sufficiently complex to require additional review
* hear serious and repeated violations of academic misconduct upon referral from an instructor or SCAIP
* consider appeals of decisions and/or sanctions imposed by SCAIP

The Academic Senate’s Committee on Committees will appoint four faculty members from each of BCOE, CNAS, and SOBA, and six from CHASS to the undergraduate Academic Integrity Committees for each college/school to serve one-year terms, effective September 1-August 31. Each committee should include faculty who are available to participate in hearings during the summer months.

PROPOSED:

6.4.4 III. Academic Integrity Committees
1. Review Stage 2, College/School Academic Integrity Committees for Cases Involving Undergraduate Students

An Academic Integrity Committee will be established in each of School or College to:
* hear cases referred by SCAIP that are sufficiently complex to require additional review
* hear serious and repeated violations of academic misconduct upon referral from an instructor or SCAIP
* consider appeals of decisions and/or sanctions imposed by SCAIP

The Academic Senate’s Committee on Committees will appoint four to six faculty members to the undergraduate Academic Integrity Committees for each college and one to three faculty members to the undergraduate Academic Integrity Committees for each school with an undergraduate curriculum to serve one-year terms, effective September 1-August 31. Each committee should include faculty who are available to participate in hearings during the summer months.
In addition, SCAIP will solicit and review applications from interested undergraduate and graduate students and make recommendations to the Associated Students of UCR and Graduate Student Association regarding students to be appointed to serve on each college/school committee for one-year terms, effective September 1-August 31. The final endorsement of student members will rest with the Committee on Committees. Students are not eligible to serve if they have been suspended or are on academic or disciplinary probation, have been evicted from University Housing for reasons related to conduct, or have a case pending before SCAIP. (Am 20 February 07)

In all cases an effort will be made to appoint members who represent the disciplinary diversity within each college/school, whenever possible. Staff support to the committees will be provided by the office of the Vice Provost for Administrative Resolution, the office of the AVC/Dean of Students, and SCAIP. (Am 20 February 07)

JUSTIFICATION:
Even though GSOE has an undergraduate curriculum, they had no representation on the Academic Integrity Committee. Also, the existing bylaw would have to be changed whenever new colleges and schools are formed or when a unit with just a graduate program adopts an undergraduate curriculum.

The original policy and this revision were developed by Committee on Educational Policy in consultation with the Assistant Vice Chancellor & Dean of Students and Director of Student Conduct.
APPROVALS:
Approved by the Executive Committee of CHASS:
Approved by the Executive Committee of CNAS:
Approved by the Executive Committee of COE:
Approved by the Executive Committee of SoBA:
Approved by the Executive Committee of the GSOE:

Approved by Graduate Council:

Approved by the Committee on Educational Policy:

The Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction finds the wording to be consistent with the code of the Academic Senate: 1/2/13

Received by the Executive Council