


UC RIVERSIDE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA | **Academic Senate**
COMMITTEE ON RULES AND JURISDICTION

January 12, 2017

To: Dylan Rodríguez, Chair
Riverside Division

From: Kambiz Vafai 
Chair, Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction

Re: Systemwide Review: APM Revision: Second Review of Revisions to APM 278 & APM 210-6 Health Science Clinical Professor Series

The Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction reviewed the proposed change to Systemwide Review: APM Revision: Second Review of Revisions to APM 278 & APM 210-6 Health Science Clinical Professor Series and by a vote of +2, -1, -0, R&J does not find these changes violate the code of the Academic Senate. The Committee has the following comments/suggestions:

Page 35: In the section "Advancement to Above Scale Status," the committee notes the vague reference to "work." There is no reference to any specific category, e.g. research, teaching, service. One can presume "work" refers to research, creative (?) and/or clinical work; but perhaps this should be explained. The committee suggests replacing "work" with "scholarly work" or their "research, teaching and service work".

The descending member felt that the proposed changes to the policy drafts violate APM-015, which quotes the following:

"The University seeks to provide and sustain an environment conducive to sharing, extending, and critically examining knowledge and values, and to furthering the search for wisdom."

"The faculty's privileges and protections, including that of tenure, rest on the mutually supportive relationships between the faculty's special professional competence, its academic freedom, and the central functions of the University. These relationships are also the source of the professional responsibilities of faculty members."

And further suggests to have an explicit reference to 'research' alongside 'scholarship' since some kinds of clinical research cooperation are important but might not fall under the rubric of 'scholarship'.