To Be Adopted

Proposed Changes to Regulations of the Riverside Division R.1 Grading System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRESENT</th>
<th>PROPOSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1.1.1.1 The grade GD (Grade Delay) shall be entered on the student's record: a) when administratively the faculty member is not able to assign a grade or b) when disciplinary proceedings are in progress. The GD shall not itself be calculated in any way in the student's grade-point average. The GD shall be changed to a grade, or an incomplete, only when the Registrar receives a written request from the instructor, or if unavailable, the program chair, to indicate that the student situation has been resolved.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once an instructor has decided to proceed with disciplinary proceedings, he or she will refrain from assigning a course grade for the student. If the course concludes before the charge has been resolved, the instructor will assign a grade GD and indicate as a note that this GD is for a “Pending Charge of Academic Integrity”.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1.1.5.1 A student who has been referred for a pending academic integrity violation may not drop or withdraw from the course to escape the consequences of the misconduct. If a student drops or withdraws from the course prior to the resolution of the case brought to Student Conduct, they will be re-enrolled in the course. Students are encouraged to attend and engage fully in the course during the academic integrity review.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Justification: The purpose of this proposal is to suggest for consideration two additions to the UCR Grading Policies, so that the grade policies are in line with current practices and policies on campus. 1) The grade GD currently is utilized administratively and during academic integrity reviews; however, the GD grade is not defined as an official grade in the UCR Grading Policy and 2) The Committee on Educational Policy requested and approved in 2005 the Academic Integrity at the University of California, Riverside policy which includes language that a student officially notified of alleged academic misconduct may not withdraw from the course until the determination of
responsibility is made and any sanctions are imposed. This policy and the Grade Policy for withdrawal do not appropriately match and therefore, the proposal requests the addition of a regulation to address withdrawals in the case of academic integrity.
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