COMMITTEE ON RULES AND JURISDICTION

REPORT TO THE RIVERSIDE DIVISION
December 3, 2019

To Be Adopted

Proposed Changes to Charge of the Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction
Bylaw 8.21.2.2

8.21 Rules and Jurisdiction

8.21.1 This committee consists of three members, one of whom is the Secretary-Parliamentarian of the Division. The Chair may also serve on the University Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction. (Am 22 Oct 73)

8.21.2 It is the duty of this committee to:

8.21.2.1 Prepare and report to the Division such changes and additions to the bylaws and regulations of the Division as may seem advisable;

8.21.2.2 Review legislation submitted for adoption by the Division to determine whether such legislation conflicts with the bylaws and regulations of the Academic Senate, and meets reasonable standards of clarity and internal consistency;

8.21.2.3 Advise the Division on the scope of its jurisdiction and that of the Academic Senate as a whole;

8.21.2.4 Recommend to the Division such changes in its organization as seem desirable;

8.21.2.5 Make editorial and nonsubstantive changes in the bylaws and regulations with regard to numbering, headings, cross references, organizational titles and details of style, reporting all such changes to the

PROPOSED:

No change

No change

No change

No change

No change

No change
organizations directly concerned, and publishing them in the call for the next meeting of the Division;

8.21.2.6 Edit and publish, at such intervals as may seem necessary, the Manual of the Riverside Division of the Academic Senate, which shall consist of the bylaws and regulations of the Division, such appendices as the Division shall direct to be included, and the bylaws and regulations of faculties and councils directly responsible to the Division;  

No change

8.21.2.7 To hear, upon petition of no fewer than five members of the Division, challenges to any action of a divisional committee alleged to be contrary to the Code of the Academic Senate or to the Manual of the Riverside Division [except for challenges to findings on the merits in a particular case by a Committee on Privilege and Tenure]. Prior to reporting its findings, the Committee should give the parties to the case an opportunity to present their views, either orally or in writing; (En 10 Nov 94)  

No change

8.21.2.8 To respond to informal requests from members, officers, or committees of the Division for information regarding the Code of the Academic Senate or the legislation of the Division, summarizing all such correspondence in the annual report. (En 10 Nov 94)  

No change

Statement of Purpose and Effect:

In the course of its work vetting proposals for new or revised bylaws and regulations, the R&J committee sometimes sees proposals that have vagueness or ambiguity or internal inconsistency or omit crucial information or references. Such failings should be grounds for rejection by the committee, yet the committee's charge fails to specifically and explicitly empower it to do so. The proposal aims to correct that.

The committee on Rules and Jurisdiction has dropped the word “inambiguity” based on feedback from Executive Council:

“and meets reasonable standards of clarity, internal consistency and inambiguity”, now reads "and meets reasonable standards of clarity and internal consistency."
# To Be Adopted

## Proposed Changes to Charge of the Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction

### Bylaw 8.21.2.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>PRESENT:</strong></th>
<th><strong>PROPOSED:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.21 Rules and Jurisdiction</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.21 Rules and Jurisdiction</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.21.1</strong> This committee consists of three members, one of whom is the Secretary-Parliamentarian of the Division. The Chair may also serve on the University Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction. (Am 22 Oct 73)</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.21.2</strong> It is the duty of this committee to:</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.21.2.1</strong> Prepare and report to the Division such changes and additions to the bylaws and regulations of the Division as may seem advisable;</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.21.2.2</strong> Review legislation submitted for adoption by the Division to determine whether such legislation conflicts with the bylaws and regulations of the Academic Senate;</td>
<td><strong>8.21.2.2</strong> Review legislation submitted for adoption by the Division to determine whether such legislation conflicts with the bylaws and regulations of the Academic Senate, and meets reasonable standards of clarity, internal consistency and inambiguity;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.21.2.3</strong> Advise the Division on the scope of its jurisdiction and that of the Academic Senate as a whole;</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.21.2.4</strong> Recommend to the Division such changes in its organization as seem desirable;</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.21.2.5</strong> Make editorial and nonsubstantive changes in the bylaws and regulations with regard to numbering, headings, cross references, organizational titles and details of style, reporting all such changes to the</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
organizations directly concerned, and publishing them in the call for the next meeting of the Division;

8.21.2.6 Edit and publish, at such intervals as may seem necessary, the Manual of the Riverside Division of the Academic Senate, which shall consist of the bylaws and regulations of the Division, such appendices as the Division shall direct to be included, and the bylaws and regulations of faculties and councils directly responsible to the Division;

8.21.2.7 To hear, upon petition of no fewer than five members of the Division, challenges to any action of a divisional committee alleged to be contrary to the Code of the Academic Senate or to the Manual of the Riverside Division [except for challenges to findings on the merits in a particular case by a Committee on Privilege and Tenure]. Prior to reporting its findings, the Committee should give the parties to the case an opportunity to present their views, either orally or in writing; (En 10 Nov 94)

8.21.2.8 To respond to informal requests from members, officers, or committees of the Division for information regarding the Code of the Academic Senate or the legislation of the Division, summarizing all such correspondence in the annual report. (En 10 Nov 94)

Statement of Purpose and Effect:

In the course of its work vetting proposals for new or revised bylaws and regulations, the R&J committee sometimes sees proposals that have vagueness or ambiguity or internal inconsistency or omit crucial information or references. Such failings should be grounds for rejection by the committee, yet the committee's charge fails to specifically and explicitly empower it to do so. The proposal aims to correct that.

Approved by the Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction: May 8, 2019

Received by Executive Council: (leave blank)